



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,565	02/25/2005	Werner Brennwald	P28215	5001
40401 7590 11/21/2007 HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES 2845 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER RINEHART, KENNETH	
			ART UNIT 3749	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/21/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/525,565

Applicant(s)

BRENNWALD ET AL.

Examiner

Kenneth B. Rinehart

Art Unit

3749

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kenneth B. Rinehart.

(3)_____.

(2) Bob Carpenter.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cross.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see attached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 3749

The applicant argued that per the specification and claim language it is possible for the first number of grate blocks to be moved independently of the second number of grate blocks. The applicant did not believe that Cross disclosed this limitation. The examiner disagreed as claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. The examiner did not believe that it was unreasonable for Cross to read on this claim limitation. The applicant indicated that the claims would be amended to more clearly claim the invention. The examiner stated he would consider the amendments.


KENNETH RINEHART
PRIMARY EXAMINER